arXiv:1405.2110v1 [math.AG] 8 May 2014

MIXED ŁOJASIEWICZ EXPONENTS, LOG CANONICAL THRESHOLDS OF IDEALS AND BI-LIPSCHITZ EQUIVALENCE

CARLES BIVIÀ-AUSINA AND TOSHIZUMI FUKUI

Abstract. We study the Łojasiewicz exponent and the log canonical threshold of ideals of $\mathcal{O}_n$ when restricted to generic subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^n$ of different dimensions. We obtain effective formulas of the resulting numbers for ideals with monomial integral closure. An inequality relating these numbers is also proven. We also introduce the notion of bi-Lipschitz equivalence of ideals and we prove the bi-Lipschitz invariance of Łojasiewicz exponents and log canonical thresholds of ideals.

1. Introduction

In 1970, O. Zariski posed in [51, p. 483] the following celebrated question:

> Let f and g be two holomorphic function germs $(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C},0)$. If there is a homeomorhism $\varphi:(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ so that $\varphi(f^{-1}(0))=g^{-1}(0)$, then do the germs f and g have the same multiplicity?

This question is still unsolved except for the case $n=2$ and is known as the *Zariski's multiplicity conjecture* (see the survey [13]). One of the main difficulties to attack this question comes from the fact that the image of a line by a homeomorphism $\varphi:(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ may not carry any algebraic (or analytic) structure.

Let $\mathcal{O}_n$ denote the ring of complex analytic function germs $(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to\mathbb{C}$ and let $\mathbf{m}_n$ denote the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$. We recall that the *multiplicity* or *order* of f is defined as the maximum of those $r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$ such that $f\in\mathbf{m}_n^r$.

Let $f\in\mathcal{O}_n$ such that f has an isolated singularity at the origin. In his famous book [32], J. Milnor showed several topological interpretations of the number

$$\mu(f)=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{O}_n/\langle\tfrac{\partial f}{\partial x_1},\ldots,\tfrac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}\rangle,$$

which is usually known as the Milnor number of f. Zariski's multiplicity conjecture and Milnor's book have been some of the most important motivations of many researchers to explore the relations between invariants of different nature (topological, analytic or algebraic) of a given singular function germ $f\in\mathcal{O}_n$, or more generally, of complete intersection singularities.

B. Teissier introduced in [47, p. 300] the sequence of Milnor numbers

$$\mu^*(f)=\big(\mu^{(n)}(f),\mu^{(n-1)}(f),\ldots,\mu^{(1)}(f)\big)$$

Key words and phrases. Łojasiewicz exponents, integral closure of ideals, mixed multiplicities of ideals, monomial ideals, log canonical threshold, bi-Lipschitz equivalence.

The first author was partially supported by DGICYT Grant MTM2009–08933.

where $\mu^{(i)}(f)$ denotes the Milnor number of the restriction of f to a generic linear i-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$, for $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$. In particular $\mu^{(1)}(f) = \mathrm{ord}(f) - 1$ and $\mu^{(n)}(f) = \mu(f)$. By the results of Teissier [47, p. 334] and Briançon-Speder [10, p. 159] we know that, if $f_t : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ denotes an analytic family of function germs such that f_t have simultaneously isolated singularities at 0, then the constancy of $\mu^*(f_t)$ is equivalent to the Whitney equisingularity of the deformation f_t. In [46, 1.7], Teissier also obtained a relation between the set of polar multiplicities of a given function germ $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ with the Łojasiewicz exponent $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f)$. The number $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f)$ is defined as the infimum of those $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ for which there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ and an open neighbourhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\|x\|^\alpha \leqslant C\|\nabla f(x)\|$$

for all $x \in U$, where ∇f denotes the gradient map $(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n})$ of f. Teissier also asked in [46, p. 287] whether $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f_t)$ remains constant in μ-constant analytic deformations $f_t : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. There is still no general answer to this question. However as a consequence of [46, 1.7] and [46, Théorème 6] it follows that, if $f_t : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ denotes a μ^*-constant analytic deformation, then $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f_t)$ is also constant.

The research of such invariants is motivated not only to understand the topology of hypersurfaces and singular varieties in general but also to understand the behaviour of functions and maps. In [31], J. Mather introduced the language to investigate singularities of maps and functions. This language has been widely-accepted and studied (see for instance the survey of C. T. C. Wall [50]). J. Mather defined the notions of right equivalence, right-left equivalence and contact equivalence for map germs. The corresponding equivalence classes are the orbits of the action of the groups $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ respectively, where

- $\mathcal{R}$ is the group of diffeomorphism germs of the source,
- $\mathcal{A}$ is the direct product of the group of diffeomorphism germs of the source and the target,
- $\mathcal{K}$ is the group that is formed by the elements $(\varphi(x), \phi_x(y))$ so that
  - $x \mapsto \varphi(x)$ is a diffeomorphism germ of the source, and
  - $y \mapsto \phi_x(y)$ are diffemorphism germs of the target for any x.

In [31, (2.3)], J. Mather also showed that two map germs f and g are contact equivalent if and only if the ideals generated by the component functions of f and that of $g \circ \varphi$, respectively, are the same for some coordinate change φ of the source. These notions have clearly a holomorphic analogue. For shortness, we often call right equivalence, right-left equivalence and contact equivalence by $\mathcal{R}$-equivalence, $\mathcal{A}$-equivalence, and $\mathcal{K}$-equivalence, respectively.

It is natural to consider the bi-Lipschitz analogue of these notions. This direction seems to be first considered in [43] by J.-J. Risler and D. Trotman in the context of singularity theory after the establishment of the theory of Lipschitz stratifications [35] (see also [37]). They showed that if two holomorphic function germs are right-left equivalent in the bi-Lipschitz sense, then they have the same multiplicity. This fact was a bit surprising, since

there is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism which sends a semi-line to the log spiral:

$$(\mathbb{R}^2,0)\to(\mathbb{R}^2,0),\qquad (r,\theta)\to(r,\theta-\log r),\qquad \text{in terms of polar coordinates } (r,\theta).$$

The images of lines by bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms may not be analytic spaces, but the concept of bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism is substantially more fruitful than just talking about homeomorphisms. After [43], researchers in singularity theory started to investigate singularities from the viewpoint of bi-Lipschitz equivalence in several contexts. According to this, we list (non-exhaustively) the following topics of study and some references:

- bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{R}$-classification of functions ([14, 18, 19, 44])
- properties on bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{K}$-equivalence ([3, 44])
- classification of complex surfaces singularities in the bi-Lipschitz context ([2])
- directional properties of subanalytic sets via bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms ([25])
- bi-Lipschitz stratifications ([24, 48])
- the notion of integral closure technique in the bi-Lipschitz context ([16]).

One of the motivations of this paper is the study of the invariance of $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f)$ under bi-Lipschitz equivalences (see Subsection 2.1 and Theorem 6.1) and related outcomes of the discussion based on the estimation of Łojasiewicz exponents. Moreover, we explore in §3, §4 and §5 the notion of Łojasiewicz exponent $\mathcal{L}_0(I_1,\ldots,I_n)$ of n ideals in a Noetherian local ring of dimension n. This concept was introduced in [4] using the notion of mixed multiplicities of ideals. If I denotes an ideal of finite colength of $\mathcal{O}_n$, then we are particularly interested in the Łojasiewicz exponent that arises when restricting I to generic linear subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^n$ of different dimensions, thus leading to the sequence of relative Łojasiewicz exponents (see Definition 3.7).

The notion of mixed multiplicities of ideals was originated by the results of Risler and Teissier in [47] about the study of the μ^*-sequence of function germs with an isolated singularity at the origin. Subsequently there is a well-developed theory of the notion of mixed multiplicities of ideals which can be found in [23] (see also the invaluable paper of D. Rees [41]).

In §4, we discuss a generalization of an inequality proven by Hickel [21]. In §5 we obtain an expression of the sequence of relative Łojasiewicz exponents of a monomial ideal I of $\mathcal{O}_n$ in terms of the Newton polyhedron of I. In §6, we show the bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{A}$-invariance of $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f)$ and several outcomes of the proof. We also show a result about the constancy of Łojasiewicz exponents in μ-constant deformations of weighted homogeneous functions $(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C},0)$. In §7, we discuss the notion of log canonical threshold $\mathrm{lct}(I)$ of an ideal I of $\mathcal{O}_n$. We show that this number is bi-Lipschitz invariant and show a relation between $\mathrm{lct}(I)$ and Łojasiewicz exponents that enables us to express $\mathrm{lct}(I)$ in terms of Łojasiewicz exponents when the integral closure $\overline{I}$ of I is a monomial ideal. In §8 we discuss the behaviour of $\mathrm{lct}(I)$ when restricting I to generic i-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^n$, for $i=1,\ldots,n$. Then there arises the sequence $\mathrm{lct}^*(I)=(\mathrm{lct}^{(n)}(I),\ldots,\mathrm{lct}^{(1)}(I))$ for which we show a closed formula when $\overline{I}$ is a monomial ideal.

The authors would like to thank S. Ishii, M. A. S. Ruas and D. Trotman for helpful conversations.

2. Preliminaries

We start by recalling notational conventions. Let $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ be two function germs $(\mathbb{C}^n, x_0) \to \mathbb{R}$, where $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then

- $a(x) \lesssim b(x)$ *near* x_0 means that there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ and an open neighbourhood U of x_0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $a(x) \leqslant C\, b(x)$, for all $x \in U$.
- $a(x) \sim b(x)$ *near* x_0 means that $a(x) \lesssim b(x)$ near x_0 and $b(x) \lesssim a(x)$ near x_0.

For an n-tuple $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we write $\|x\| = \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + \cdots + |x_n|^2}$.

2.1. **Bi-Lipschitz equivalences.** We start with recalling the definition of bi-Lipschitz map. A map germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ is said to be *Lipschitz* if

$$\|f(x) - f(x')\| \lesssim \|x - x'\| \text{ near } 0.$$

We say that a homeomorphism $h : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is *bi-Lipschitz* if h and h^{-1} are Lipschitz. Now we can state obvious bi-Lipschitz analogues for several equivalence relations:

- Two map germs $f, g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ are said to be *bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{R}$-equivalent* if there is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ so that $f = g \circ \varphi$.
- Two map germs $f, g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ are said to be *bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent* if there are a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\phi : (\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ so that $\phi(f(x)) = g(\varphi(x))$, for all x belonging to some open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- Two map germs $f, g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ are said to be *bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{K}$-equivalent* if there are a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\Phi : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^p, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^p, 0)$, written as $(x, y) \mapsto (\varphi(x), \phi_x(y))$, so that $\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n \times \{0\}) = \mathbb{C}^n \times \{0\}$ and $\phi_x(f(x)) = g(\varphi(x))$, for all x belonging to some open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- Two map germs $f, g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ are said to be *bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{K}^*$-equivalent* if there are a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and a map $A : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C}^p)$ so that $A(x)$ and $A(x)^{-1}$ are Lipschitz and that $A(x)f(x) = g(\varphi(x))$, for all x belonging to some open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- Two subsets X_1 and X_2 of $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ are *bi-Lipschitz equivalent* if there is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ so that $\varphi(X_1) = X_2$.

The definition of bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{K}$-equivalence is used in [3]. It is possible to consider a weaker version of the definition of $\mathcal{K}$-equivalence by replacing the condition that Φ is bi-Lipschitz by the condition that ϕ_x is bi-Lipschitz, for all x belonging to some open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We only need this condition in the proof of Theorem 7.3.

The definition of $\mathcal{K}^*$-equivalence is inspired by the condition (iii) of the first proposition in paragraph (2.3) in [31].

For a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, we do not have the induced map $\varphi^* : \mathcal{O}_n \to \mathcal{O}_n$, since $f \circ \varphi$ may not be holomorphic for $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$. So we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let I and J be ideals of $\mathcal{O}_n$. We say that I and J are *bi-Lipschitz equivalent* if there exist two families $f_1, \ldots, f_p$ and $g_1, \ldots, g_q$ of functions of $\mathcal{O}_n$ such that

(a) $\langle f_1, \ldots, f_p \rangle \subseteq I$ and $\overline{\langle f_1, \ldots, f_p \rangle} = \overline{I}$,

(b) $\langle g_1, \dots, g_q \rangle \subseteq J$ and $\overline{\langle g_1, \dots, g_q \rangle} = \overline{J}$,
(c) there is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that

$$\|(f_1(x), \dots, f_p(x))\| \sim \|(g_1(\varphi(x)), \dots, g_q(\varphi(x)))\| \qquad \text{near } 0.$$

We remark that, under the conditions of item (a), the ideal $\langle f_1, \dots, f_p \rangle$ is usually called a *reduction* of I (see [23, p. 6]).

Here there are some obvious consequences:

- If two map germs $f, g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ are bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{R}$-equivalent, then they are bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{A}$ (and $\mathcal{K}^*$)-equivalent.
- If two map germs $f, g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ are bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent or $\mathcal{K}^*$-equivalent, then they are bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{K}$-equivalent.
- If two map germs f and g are bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{K}$-equivalent, then the ideals generated by their components are bi-Lipschitz equivalent.
- If two ideals are bi-Lipschitz equivalent, then their zero loci are bi-Lipschitz equivalent.

The following questions seem to be open.

Question 2.2.
- If f and g are bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{K}$-equivalent, are f and g bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{K}^*$-equivalent?
- If f and g are bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent, are f and g bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{K}^*$-equivalent?

Question 2.3. Let X and Y be germs of complex analytic subvarieties at 0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$. If there exist a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $h : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ so that $h(X) = Y$, are the respective defining ideals of X and Y bi-Lipschitz equivalent?

Let $f, g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be two holomorphic functions. Assume that there is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ so that $f^{-1}(0) = \varphi(g^{-1}(0))$. The authors do not know whether $g(\varphi(x))/f(x)$ is bounded away from 0 and infinity, or not.

2.2. **Łojasiewicz exponent of ideals.** Let I and J be ideals of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_p\}$ be a generating system of I and let $\{g_1, \dots, g_q\}$ be a generating system of J. Let us consider the maps $f = (f_1, \dots, f_p) : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ and $g = (g_1, \dots, g_q) : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^q, 0)$. We define the *Łojasiewicz exponent of I with respect to J*, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_J(I)$, as the infinimum of the set

$$\big\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} : \|g(x)\|^\alpha \lesssim \|f(x)\| \text{ near } 0\big\}. \tag{2.1}$$

By convention, we set $\inf \emptyset = \infty$. So if the previous set is empty, then we set $\mathcal{L}_J(I) = \infty$.

We thus have that $\mathcal{L}_J(I)$ is finite if and only if $V(I) \subseteq V(J)$ (see [30]).

Let us suppose that the ideal I has finite colength. When $J = \mathbf{m}_n$, then we denote the number $\mathcal{L}_J(I)$ by $\mathcal{L}_0(I)$. That is

$$\mathcal{L}_0(I) = \inf \big\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} : \|x\|^\alpha \lesssim \|f(x)\| \text{ near } 0\big\}.$$

We refer to $\mathcal{L}_0(I)$ as the *Łojasiewicz exponent of I*.

3. The sequence of mixed Łojasiewicz exponents

If I denotes an ideal of a ring R, then we denote by $\overline{I}$ the integral closure of I. Let us suppose that I is an ideal of finite colength of $\mathcal{O}_n$ and let J be a proper ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Then, by virtue of the results of Lejeune and Teissier in [30, Théorème 7.2], the Łojasiewicz exponent $\mathcal{L}_J(I)$ can be expressed algebraically as

$$\mathcal{L}_J(I) = \inf\left\{\frac{r}{s} : r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1},\ J^r \subseteq \overline{I^s}\right\}.$$

This fact is one of the motivations of the definition in [4] of the notion of Łojasiewicz exponent of a set of ideals. The main tool used for this definition is the mixed multiplicity of n ideals in a local ring of dimension n.

Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ denote a Noetherian local ring of dimension n. If $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ are ideals of R of finite colength, then we denote by $e(I_1, \ldots, I_n)$ the mixed multiplicity of $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ defined by Teissier and Risler in [47, §2]. We also refer to [23, §17.4] or [45] for the definitions and fundamental results concerning mixed multiplicities of ideals. Here we recall briefly the definition of $e(I_1, \ldots, I_n)$. Under the conditions exposed above, let us consider the function $H : \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geqslant 0} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ given by

$$H(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = \ell\left(\frac{R}{I_1^{r_1} \cdots I_n^{r_n}}\right), \tag{3.1}$$

for all $(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geqslant 0}$, where $\ell(M)$ denotes the length of a given R-module M. Then, it is proven in [47] that there exists a polynomial $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ of degree n such that

$$H(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = P(r_1, \ldots, r_n),$$

for all sufficiently large $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$. Moreover, the coefficient of the monomial $x_1 \cdots x_n$ in $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is an integer. This integer is called the *mixed multiplicity* of $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ and is denoted by $e(I_1, \ldots, I_n)$.

We remark that if $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ are all equal to a given ideal I of finite colength of R, then $e(I_1, \ldots, I_n) = e(I)$, where $e(I)$ denotes the Samuel multiplicity of I. If $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, then we denote by $e_i(I)$ the mixed multiplicity $e(I, \ldots, I, \mathbf{m}, \ldots, \mathbf{m})$, where I is repeated i times and the maximal ideal $\mathbf{m}$ is repeated $n - i$ times. In particular $e_n(I) = e(I)$ and $e_0(I) = e(\mathbf{m})$.

If $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ is an analytic function germ with an isolated singularity at the origin and $J(f)$ denotes the Jacobian ideal of f, then we denote by $\mu^{(i)}(f)$ the Milnor number of the restriction of f to a generic linear subspace of dimension i passing through the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$, for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. Teissier showed in [47] that $\mu^{(i)}(f) = e_i(J(f))$, for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. The *μ^*-sequence of f* is defined as $\mu^*(f) = (\mu^{(n)}(f), \ldots, \mu^{(1)}(f))$.

If $g_1, \ldots, g_r \in R$ and they generate an ideal J of R of finite colength then we denote the multiplicity $e(J)$ also by $e(g_1, \ldots, g_r)$. We will need the following known result (see for instance [23, p. 345]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $n \geqslant 1$. Let $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ be ideals of R of finite colength. Let $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ be elements of R such that $g_i \in I_i$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and the ideal $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_n\rangle$ has also finite colength. Then*

$$e(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \geqslant e(I_1, \ldots, I_n).$$

Definition 3.2. Let $(R,\mathbf{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension n. Let $I_1,\ldots,I_n$ be ideals of R. Then we define

$$\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)=\max_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}} e(I_1+\mathbf{m}^r,\ldots,I_n+\mathbf{m}^r). \tag{3.2}$$

The set of integers $\{e(I_1+\mathbf{m}^r,\ldots,I_n+\mathbf{m}^r) : r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}\}$ is not bounded in general. Thus $\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)$ is not always finite. The finiteness of $\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)$ is characterized in Proposition 3.3. We remark that if I_i has finite colength, for all $i=1,\ldots,n$, then $\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)$ equals the usual notion of mixed multiplicity $e(I_1,\ldots,I_n)$.

Let us suppose that the residue field $k=R/\mathbf{m}$ is infinite. Let $I_1,\ldots,I_n$ be ideals of R. We say that a given property is satisfied for a *sufficiently general element of* $I_1\oplus\cdots\oplus I_n$, when, after identifying $(I_1/\mathbf{m}I_1)\oplus\cdots\oplus(I_n/\mathbf{m}I_n)$ with k^s, for some $s\geqslant 1$, there exist a Zariski open subset $U\subseteq k^s$ such that the said property holds for all elements of U.

Proposition 3.3 ([5, p. 393])**.** *Let $I_1,\ldots,I_n$ be ideals of a Noetherian local ring $(R,\mathbf{m})$ such that the residue field $k=R/\mathbf{m}$ is infinite. Then $\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)<\infty$ if and only if there exist elements $g_i\in I_i$, for $i=1,\ldots,n$, such that $\langle g_1,\ldots,g_n\rangle$ has finite colength. In this case, we have that $\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)=e(g_1,\ldots,g_n)$ for a sufficiently general element $(g_1,\ldots,g_n)\in I_1\oplus\cdots\oplus I_n$.*

Proposition 3.3 shows that, if $\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)<\infty$, then $\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)$ is equal to the mixed multiplicity of $I_1,\ldots,I_n$ defined by Rees in [40, p. 181] (see also [42]) via the notion of general extension of a local ring. Therefore, we will refer to $\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)$ as the *Rees' mixed multiplicity* of $I_1,\ldots,I_n$.

Lemma 3.4 ([4, p. 392])**.** *Let $(R,\mathbf{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $n\geqslant 1$. Let $J_1,\ldots,J_n$ be ideals of R such that $\sigma(J_1,\ldots,J_n)<\infty$. Let $I_1,\ldots,I_n$ be ideals of R for which $J_i\subseteq I_i$, for all $i=1,\ldots,n$. Then $\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)<\infty$ and*

$$\sigma(J_1,\ldots,J_n)\geqslant\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n).$$

Under the conditions of Definition 3.2, let us denote by J a proper ideal of R. From Lemma 3.4 we obtain easily that

$$\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)=\max_{r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}}\sigma(I_1+J^r,\ldots,I_n+J^r).$$

Let us suppose that $\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)<\infty$. Hence, we define

$$r_J(I_1,\ldots,I_n)=\min\big\{r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}:\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)=\sigma(I_1+J^r,\ldots,I_n+J^r)\big\}. \tag{3.3}$$

If I is an ideal of finite colength of R then we denote $r_J(I,\ldots,I)$ by $r_J(I)$. We remark that if R is quasi-unmixed, then, by the Rees' multiplicity theorem (see for instance [23, p. 222]) we have

$$r_J(I)=\min\big\{r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}:J^r\subseteq\overline{I}\big\}.$$

We will denote the integer $r_{\mathbf{m}}(I)$ by $r_0(I)$.

Definition 3.5 ([6])**.** Let $(R,\mathbf{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension n. Let $I_1,\ldots,I_n$ be ideals of R such that $\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)<\infty$. Let J be a proper ideal of R. We define the

Łojasiewicz exponent of $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ with respect to J, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_J(I_1, \ldots, I_n)$, as

$$\mathcal{L}_J(I_1, \ldots, I_n) = \inf_{s \geqslant 1} \frac{r_J(I_1^s, \ldots, I_n^s)}{s}. \tag{3.4}$$

In accordance with mixed multiplicities of ideals, we also refer to the number $\mathcal{L}_J(I_1, \ldots, I_n)$ as the *mixed Łojasiewicz exponent of $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ with respect to J*; when $J = \mathbf{m}$ we denote this number by $\mathcal{L}_0(I_1, \ldots, I_n)$.

Remark 3.6. Let us observe that, under the conditions of Definition 3.5, if I is an ideal of finite colength of R such that $I_1 = \cdots = I_n = I$, then the right hand side of (3.4) can be rewritten as

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{r}{s} : r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1},\, e(I^s) = e(I^s + J^r) \right\}. \tag{3.5}$$

If we assume that R is quasi-unmixed and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$, then the condition $e(I^s) = e(I^s + J^r)$ is equivalent to saying that $J^r \subseteq \overline{I^s}$, by the Rees' multiplicity theorem. Therefore (3.5) is expressed as

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{r}{s} : r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1},\, J^r \subseteq \overline{I^s} \right\},$$

which coincides with the usual notion of Łojasiewicz exponent $\mathcal{L}_J(I)$ of I with respect to J (see [30, Théorème 7.2]).

As a particular case of the previous definition we introduce the following concept.

Definition 3.7. Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension n. Let I be an ideal of R of finite colength. If $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then we define the *i-th relative Łojasiewicz exponent of I* as

$$\mathcal{L}_0^{(i)}(I) = \mathcal{L}_0(\underbrace{I, \ldots, I}_{i \text{ times}}, \underbrace{\mathbf{m}, \ldots, \mathbf{m}}_{n-i \text{ times}}). \tag{3.6}$$

We define the *$\mathcal{L}_0^*$-vector*, or *$\mathcal{L}_0^*$-sequence*, of I as

$$\mathcal{L}_0^*(I) = \big(\mathcal{L}_0^{(n)}(I), \ldots, \mathcal{L}_0^{(1)}(I)\big).$$

If J denotes a proper ideal of R, then we define *the i-th relative Łojasiewicz exponent of I with respect to J*, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_J^{(i)}(I)$, by replacing $\mathbf{m}$ by J in (3.6). The *$\mathcal{L}_J^*$-sequence* of I is defined analogously.

Definition 3.8. Let $(X, 0) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be the germ at 0 of a complex analytic variety X. Let $h_1, \ldots, h_m \in \mathcal{O}_n$ such that $(X, 0) = V(h_1, \ldots, h_m)$. Let h denote the map $(h_1, \ldots, h_m) : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$. Let I be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ such that $V(I) \cap X = \{0\}$. Then we define the *Łojasiewicz exponent of I relative to* $(X, 0)$ as the infimum of those $\alpha > 0$ such that there exists a constant $C > 0$ and an open neighbourhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\|x\|^\alpha \leqslant C\|h(x)\|$, for all $x \in U \cap X$.

By the results of Lejeune-Teissier [30] we have that if J is the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ generated by $h_1, \ldots, h_m$, then $\mathcal{L}_{(X,0)}(I) = \mathcal{L}_J(I)$.

We will study the number $\mathcal{L}_{(X,0)}(I)$ specially when $(X, 0)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\pi : M \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a proper modification so that $\pi^*(\mathbf{m}I)_0$ is formed by normal crossing divisors whose support has the irreducible decomposition $\cup_i D_i$. If*

$$(\pi^*\mathbf{m})_0 = \sum_i s_i D_i, \quad (\pi^* I)_0 = \sum_i m_i D_i, \quad s_i, m_i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

then we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{(X,0)}(I) = \max\left\{\frac{m_i}{s_i} : D_i \cap X' \neq \emptyset\right\} \tag{3.7}$$

where X' denotes the strict transform of X by π (see [7] for details).

4. Inequalities relating Łojasiewicz exponents and mixed multiplicities

This section is motivated by the results of Hickel in [21]. In this section we show some results showing how Łojasiewicz exponents are related with quotients of mixed multiplicities; the main result in this direction is Theorem 4.7.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a quasi-unmixed Noetherian local ring of dimension n. Let $I_1, \ldots, I_n, J$ be ideals of R such that $\sigma(I_1, \ldots, I_n) < \infty$, $\sigma(I_1, \ldots, I_{n-1}, J) < \infty$ and I_n has finite colength. Then*

$$\frac{\sigma(I_1, \ldots, I_n)}{\sigma(I_1, \ldots, I_{n-1}, J)} \leqslant \mathcal{L}_J(I_n).$$

Proof. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$. Let us suppose that $J^r \subseteq \overline{I_n^s}$. Then we obtain

$$r \cdot \sigma(I_1, \ldots, I_{n-1}, J) = \sigma(I_1, \ldots, I_{n-1}, J^r) \tag{4.1}$$

$$\geqslant \sigma(I_1, \ldots, I_{n-1}, I_n^s) = s \cdot \sigma(I_1, \ldots, I_{n-1}, I_n). \tag{4.2}$$

We refer to [4, Lemma 2.6] for equality (4.1) and to Lemma 3.1 for the inequality in (4.2). In particular

$$\frac{r}{s} \geqslant \frac{\sigma(I_1, \ldots, I_{n-1}, I_n)}{\sigma(I_1, \ldots, I_{n-1}, J)}.$$

By [30, Théorème 7.2] we have $\mathcal{L}_J(I_n) = \inf\{\frac{r}{s} : r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}, J^r \subseteq \overline{I_n^s}\}$ (see Remark 3.6). Then the result follows. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a quasi-unmixed Noetherian local ring of dimension n. Let I be an ideal of finite colength of R. Then*

$$\frac{e(I)}{e_{n-1}(I)} \leqslant \mathcal{L}_0(I). \tag{4.3}$$

and equality holds if and only if

$$e_{n-1}(I)^n e(I) = e(I^{e_{n-1}(I)} + \mathbf{m}^{e(I)}).$$

Proof. Inequality (4.3) follows from applying Proposition 4.1 to the case $I_1 = \cdots = I_n = I$ and $J = \mathbf{m}$.

By the definition of $\mathcal{L}_0(I)$ we observe that equality holds in (4.3) if and only if $\mathbf{m}^{e(I)} \subseteq \overline{I^{e_{n-1}(I)}}$. This inclusion is equivalent to saying that $e(I^{e_{n-1}(I)}) = e(I^{e_{n-1}(I)} + \mathbf{m}^{e(I)})$, by the Rees' multiplicity theorem. □

Remark 4.3. Let $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geqslant 1}$ and let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$. Let us denote $\min_i w_i$ by w_0. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ denote a semi-weighted homogeneous function germ of degree d with respect to w. It is known that $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f) \leqslant \frac{d-w_0}{w_0}$ (see for instance [6, Corollary 4.7]). Hence it is interesting to determine when $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f)$ attains the maximum possible value $\frac{d-w_0}{w_0}$ (see [6, 28]).

By (4.3) we obtain

$$\frac{\mu(f)}{\mu^{(n-1)}(f)} \leqslant \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f). \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore, if $\frac{\mu(f)}{\mu^{(n-1)}(f)} = \frac{d-w_0}{w_0}$ then we have the equality $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f) = \frac{d-w_0}{w_0}$.

Let $f_t : (\mathbb{C}^3, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ denote the analytic family of functions of Briançon-Speder's example (see Example 5.5). We recall that f_t is weighted homogeneous of degree 15 with respect to $w = (1, 2, 3)$, for all t. When $t \neq 0$, equality holds in (4.4) and thus we observe that inequality (4.3) is sharp. However $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f_0) = \frac{d-w_0}{w_0}$ but the equality does not hold in (4.4).

We also remark that the Briançon-Speder's example also shows that if $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is a weighted homogeneous function of degree d with respect to a given vector of weights $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 1}$, then we can not expect a formula for the whole sequence $\mu^*(f)$ in terms of w and d.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a quasi-unmixed Noetherian local ring of dimension n. Let $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ and $J_1, \ldots, J_n$ be two families of ideals of R of finite colength. Then*

$$\frac{e(I_1, \ldots, I_n)}{e(J_1, \ldots, J_n)} \leqslant \mathcal{L}_{J_1}(I_1)\mathcal{L}_{J_2}(I_2) \cdots \mathcal{L}_{J_n}(I_n). \tag{4.5}$$

In particular, if I is an ideal of R of finite colength, then

$$e(I) \leqslant \mathcal{L}_0(I)^n. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. Relation (4.5) follows immediately as a recursive application of Proposition 4.1. Inequality (4.6) is a consequence of applying (4.5) by considering $I_1 = \cdots = I_n = I$ and $J_1 = \cdots = J_n = \mathbf{m}$. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ denote a Noetherian local ring of dimension n. Let $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ be ideals of R such that $\sigma(I_1, \ldots, I_n) < \infty$. Let $g \in I_n$ such that $\dim R/\langle g \rangle = n - 1$ and let $p : R \to R/\langle g \rangle$ denote the canonical projection. Then*

$$\sigma(I_1, \ldots, I_n) \leqslant \sigma(p(I_1), \ldots, p(I_{n-1})).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, there exist $g_i \in I_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, such that

$$\sigma(p(I_1), \ldots, p(I_{n-1})) = \sigma(p(g_1), \ldots, p(g_{n-1})).$$

The image in a quotient of R of a given ideal of R has multiplicity greater than or equal to the multiplicity of the given ideal (see for instance [23, Lemma 11.1.7] or [20, p. 146]). Therefore

$$\sigma(p(I_1), \ldots, p(I_{n-1})) = e(p(g_1), \ldots, p(g_{n-1})) \geqslant e(g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}, g) \geqslant \sigma(I_1, \ldots, I_n)$$

where the last inequality is a consequence of Lemma 3.1. □

Proposition 4.6. *Let $(R,\mathbf{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $n \geqslant 2$. Let J be a proper ideal of R and let $I_1,\ldots,I_n$ be ideals of R such that $\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n)<\infty$. Let g denote a sufficiently general element of I_n and let $p: R \to R/\langle g\rangle$ denote the canonical projection. Then*

$$\sigma(p(I_1),\ldots,p(I_{n-1})) = \sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n) \tag{4.7}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{p(J)}(p(I_1),\ldots,p(I_{n-1})) \leqslant \mathcal{L}_J(I_1,\ldots,I_n). \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. Let us suppose that $g \in I_n$ is a superficial element for $I_1,\ldots,I_n$ according to [23, Definition 17.2.1]. In particular, the element g can be considered as a sufficiently general element of I_n, by [23, Proposition 17.2.2]. Therefore equality (4.7) holds, by a result of Risler and Teissier [23, Theorem 17.4.6] (see also [47, p. 306]). From (4.7) we obtain the following chain of inequalities, for any pair of integers $r,s \geqslant 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(I_1^s,\ldots,I_n^s) &= s^n\sigma(I_1,\ldots,I_n) = s^n\sigma(p(I_1),\ldots,p(I_{n-1}))\\
&= s\cdot\sigma(p(I_1)^s,\ldots,p(I_{n-1})^s) \geqslant s\cdot\sigma(p(I_1)^s+p(J)^r,\ldots,p(I_{n-1})^s+p(J)^r)\\
&\geqslant s\cdot\sigma(I_1^s+J^r,\ldots,I_{n-1}^s+J^r,I_n) = \sigma(I_1^s+J^r,\ldots,I_{n-1}^s+J^r,I_n^s) \qquad (4.9)\\
&\geqslant \sigma(I_1^s+J^r,\ldots,I_{n-1}^s+J^r,I_n^s+J^r),
\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality of (4.9) is a direct application of Lemma 4.5. In particular, we find that $r_{p(J)}(p(I_1)^s,\ldots,p(I_{n-1})^s) \leqslant r_J(I_1^s,\ldots,I_n^s)$, for all $s \geqslant 1$, and hence relation (4.8) follows. □

The next result shows an inequality that in some situations (see Corollary 4.8) is more subtle than inequality (4.5). Moreover, Theorem 4.7 constitutes a generalization of the inequality proven by Hickel in [21, Théorème 1.1].

Theorem 4.7. *Let us suppose that $(R,\mathbf{m})$ is a quasi-unmixed Noetherian local ring. Let $I_1,\ldots,I_n$ and $J_1,\ldots,J_n$ two families of ideals of R of finite colength. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{e(I_1,\ldots,I_n)}{e(J_1,\ldots,J_n)} \leqslant\ & \mathcal{L}_{J_1}(I_1,J_2\ldots,J_n)\mathcal{L}_{J_2}(I_2,I_2,J_3\ldots,J_n)\mathcal{L}_{J_3}(I_3,I_3,I_3,J_4\ldots,J_n)\\
& \cdots\mathcal{L}_{J_{n-1}}(I_{n-1},\ldots,I_{n-1},J_n)\mathcal{L}_{J_n}(I_n,\ldots,I_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, we have

$$e(I_1,\ldots,I_n) \leqslant e(I_1,\ldots,I_{n-1},J_n)\mathcal{L}_{J_n}(I_n). \tag{4.10}$$

Let $g_n \in J_n$ such that $\dim R/\langle g_n\rangle = n-1$ and let $p: R \to R/\langle g_n\rangle$ be the natural projection. Therefore we obtain

$$e(I_1,\ldots,I_{n-1},J_n) \leqslant e(p(I_1),\ldots,p(I_{n-1})), \tag{4.11}$$

by Lemma 4.5. Applying again Proposition 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e(p(I_1),\ldots,p(I_{n-1})) &\leqslant e(p(I_1),\ldots,p(I_{n-2}),p(J_{n-1}))\mathcal{L}_{p(J_{n-1})}(p(I_{n-1}))\\
&\leqslant e(p(I_1),\ldots,p(I_{n-2}),p(J_{n-1}))\mathcal{L}_{J_{n-1}}(I_{n-1},\ldots,I_{n-1},J_n), \qquad (4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

where (4.12) follows from Proposition 4.6. Thus joining (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12) we obtain

$$e(I_1,\ldots,I_n) \leqslant e\left(p(I_1),\ldots,p(I_{n-2}),p(J_{n-1})\right)\mathcal{L}_{J_{n-1}}(I_{n-1},\ldots,I_{n-1},J_n)\mathcal{L}_{J_n}(I_n).$$

Now we can bound the multiplicity $e(p(I_1),\ldots,p(I_{n-2}),p(J_{n-1}))$ by applying the same argument. Then, by finite induction we construct a sequence of elements $g_i \in J_i$, for $i=2,\ldots,n$, such that $\dim R/\langle g_i,\ldots,g_n\rangle = i-1$, for all $i=2,\ldots,n$, and if q denotes the projection $R \to R/\langle g_2,\ldots,g_n\rangle$, then

$$e(I_1,\ldots,I_n) \leqslant e(q(I_1))\mathcal{L}_{J_2}(I_2,I_2,J_3\ldots,J_n)\mathcal{L}_{J_3}(I_3,I_3,I_3,J_4\ldots,J_n) \\ \cdots \mathcal{L}_{J_{n-1}}(I_{n-1},\ldots,I_{n-1},J_n)\mathcal{L}_{J_n}(I_n,\ldots,I_n).$$

By Propositions 4.1 and 4.6 we have

$$e(q(I_1)) \leqslant e(q(J_1))\mathcal{L}_{q(J_1)}(q(I_1)) \leqslant e(q(J_1))\mathcal{L}_{J_1}(I_1,J_2,\ldots,J_n).$$

Moreover, we can assume from the beginning that $g_n, g_{n-1},\ldots,g_2$ forms a superficial sequence for $J_n,J_{n-1},\ldots,J_2,J_1$, in the sense of [23, Definition 17.2.1]. In particular we have the equality $e(q(J_1)) = e(J_1,\ldots,J_n)$, by [23, Theorem 17.4.6]. Thus the result follows. □

Corollary 4.8. *Let $(R,\mathbf{m})$ be a quasi-unmixed Noetherian local ring and let I and J be ideals of R of finite colength. Then*

$$\frac{e(I)}{e(J)} \leqslant \mathcal{L}_J^{(1)}(I)\cdots\mathcal{L}_J^{(n)}(I),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_J^{(i)}(I) = \mathcal{L}_J(\underbrace{I,\ldots,I}_{i \text{ times}},\underbrace{J,\ldots,J}_{n-i \text{ times}})$, for $i=1,\ldots,n$.

Proof. It follows by considering $I_1=\cdots=I_n=I$ and $J_1=\cdots=J_n=J$ in the previous theorem. □

From the above result we conclude that if $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ has an isolated singularity at the origin, then

$$\mu(f) \leqslant \mathcal{L}_0^{(1)}(\nabla f)\cdots\mathcal{L}_0^{(n)}(\nabla f).$$

We remark that Theorem 4.7 and Corollary 4.8 are suggested by [21, Remarque 4.3]. Moreover, let us observe that the numbers $\nu_I^{(i)}$ defined by Hickel in [21, p. 635] in a regular local ring coincide with the numbers $\mathcal{L}_0^{(i)}(I)$ introduced in Definition 3.7, as is shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.9. *Let (R,m) be a regular local ring with infinite residue field k. Let I be an ideal of R of finite colength and let $i \in \{1,\ldots,n-1\}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_0^{(i)}(I)$ is equal to the Lojasiewicz exponent of the image of I in the quotient ring $R/\langle h_1,\ldots,h_{n-i}\rangle$, where $h_1,\ldots,h_{n-i}$ are linear forms chosen generically in $k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ and $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ denote a regular parameter system of R.*

Proof. By [23, Proposition 17.2.2] and [23, Theorem 17.4.6], we can take generic lineal forms $h_1,\ldots,h_{n-i} \in k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ in order to have $e(IR_H) = e_i(I)$, where R_H denotes the quotient ring $R/\langle h_1,\ldots,h_{n-i}\rangle$. Let us denote by $\mathbf{m}_H$ the maximal ideal of R_H. By [21, Théorème 1.1], the number $\mathcal{L}_0(IR_H)$ does not depend on $h_1,\ldots,h_{n-i}$. Let us denote the resulting number by ν_I^i, as in [21]. We observe that

$$\mathcal{L}_0(IR_H) = \inf\left\{\frac{r}{s} : \mathbf{m}_H^r \subseteq \overline{I^sR_H},\ \ r,s \geqslant 1\right\}$$

$$= \inf\left\{\frac{r}{s} : e(I^sR_H) = e(I^sR_H + \mathbf{m}_H^r),\ \ r, s \geqslant 1\right\}.$$

Moreover

$$\mathcal{L}_0^{(i)}(I) = \inf\left\{\frac{r}{s} : e_i(I^s) = e_i(I^s + \mathbf{m}^r),\ \ r, s \geqslant 1\right\}.$$

Let $r, s \geqslant 1$, then we have the following:

$$e_i(I^s) = s^i e_i(I) = s^i e(IR_H) = e(I^sR_H) \geqslant e(I^sR_H + \mathbf{m}_H^r) \geqslant e_i(I^s + \mathbf{m}^r),$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 4.5. In particular, if $e_i(I^s) = e_i(I^s + \mathbf{m}^r)$, then $e(I^sR_H) = e(I^sR_H + \mathbf{m}_H^r)$. This means that $\mathcal{L}_0(IR_H) \leqslant \mathcal{L}_0^{(i)}(I)$ and consequently $\nu_I^i \leqslant \mathcal{L}_0^{(i)}(I)$.

Let us suppose that $\nu_I^i < \mathcal{L}_0^{(i)}(I)$. Let $r, s \geqslant 1$ such that $\nu_I^i < \frac{r}{s} < \mathcal{L}_0^{(i)}(I)$. Therefore $e_i(I^s) > e(I^s + \mathbf{m}^r)$. Let us consider generic linear forms $h_1, \ldots, h_{n-i} \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that $e_i(I^s) = e(I^sR_H)$ and $e_i(I^s + \mathbf{m}^r) = e((I^s + \mathbf{m}^r)R_H)$, where $R_H = R/\langle h_1, \ldots, h_{n-i}\rangle$. Since $\nu_I^{(i)} = \mathcal{L}_0(IR_H) < \frac{r}{s}$, then $e(I^sR_H) = e((I^s+\mathbf{m}^r)R_H)$ and hence $e_i(I^s) = e_i(I^s+\mathbf{m}^r)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathcal{L}_0^{(i)}(I) = \nu_I^i$. □

Lemma 4.10. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a quasi-unmixed Noetherian local ring and let I, J be ideals of R of finite colength such that $I \subseteq J$. Let us suppose that the residue field $k = R/\mathbf{m}$ is infinite. Let $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. If $e_{i+1}(I) = e_{i+1}(J)$, then $e_i(I) = e_i(J)$.*

Proof. Let $h_1, \ldots, h_{n-i} \in \mathbf{m}$ sufficiently general elements of $\mathbf{m}$. Let us define $R_1 = R/\langle h_1, \ldots, h_{n-i}\rangle$ and $R_2 = \langle h_1, \ldots, h_{n-i-1}\rangle$. If $p : R \to R_1$ and $q : R \to R_2$ denote the natural projections, then $e_i(I) = e(p(I)R_1)$, $e_i(J) = e(p(J)R_1)$, $e_{i+1}(I) = e(q(I)R_2)$ and $e_{i+1}(J) = e(q(J)R_2)$. Since the ring R_2 is also quasi-unmixed (see for instance [23, Proposition B.44]), the condition $e_{i+1}(I) = e_{i+1}(J)$ implies that $\overline{q(I)} = \overline{q(J)}$, where the bar denotes integral closure in R_2, by the Rees' multiplicity theorem. In particular we have $\overline{p(I)} = \overline{p(J)}$, as an equality of integral closures in R_1. Thus $e(p(I)R_1) = e(p(J)R_1)$ and the result follows. □

Corollary 4.11. *Let $(R, \mathbf{m})$ be a quasi-unmixed Noetherian local ring and let I, J be ideals of R of finite colength. Let us suppose that the residue field $k = R/\mathbf{m}$ is infinite. Then $\mathcal{L}_J^{(1)}(I) \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \mathcal{L}_J^{(n)}(I)$.*

Proof. Let us fix an index $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Let us fix two integers $r, s \geqslant 1$ such that $e_{i+1}(I^s) = e_{i+1}(I^s + J^r)$. Then $e_i(I^s) = e_i(I^s + J^r)$, by Lemma 4.10. Hence the result follows from the definition of $\mathcal{L}_J^{(i)}(I)$. □

5. Mixed Łojasiewicz exponents of monomial ideals

Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geqslant 0}$, $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$. We define $v_{\min} = \min\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and $A(v) = \{j : v_j = v_{\min}\}$. Given an index $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we define $S^{(i)} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0} : \#A(v) \geqslant n+1-i\}$ and $S_0^{(i)} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0} : \#A(v) = n+1-i\}$. We observe that $S^{(1)} = S_0^{(1)} = \{(\lambda, \ldots, \lambda) : \lambda > 0\}$, $S^{(n)} = \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ and $S_0^{(i)} = S^{(i)} \setminus S^{(i-1)}$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where we set $S^{(0)} = \emptyset$.

If $h \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and $h = \sum_k a_k x^k$ denotes the Taylor expansion of h around the origin, then *support* of h is defined as the set $\operatorname{supp}(h) = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geqslant 0} : a_k \neq 0\}$. If $h \neq 0$, the

Newton polyhedron of h, denoted by $\Gamma_+(h)$, is the convex hull in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of the set $\{k+v : k \in \mathrm{supp}(h), v \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geqslant 0}\}$. If $h=0$, then we set $\Gamma_+(h)=\emptyset$. If I denotes an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ and $g_1,\ldots,g_r$ is a generating system of I, then the *Newton polyhedron of* I, denoted by $\Gamma_+(I)$, is defined as the convex hull of $\Gamma_+(g_1)\cup\cdots\cup\Gamma_+(g_r)$. It is easy to check that the definition of $\Gamma_+(I)$ does not depend on the chosen generating system $g_1,\ldots,g_r$ of I.

If $v \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geqslant 0}$ and I denotes an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$, then we define

$$\ell(v,I)=\min\{\langle v,k\rangle : k\in\Gamma_+(I)\},$$

where $\langle\,,\rangle$ stands for the standard scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, if $v=(1,\ldots,1)\in\mathbb{R}^n_{\geqslant 0}$, then $\ell(v,I)=\mathrm{ord}(I)$, where $\mathrm{ord}(I)$ is the *order of* I, that is, the minimum of those $r\geqslant 1$ such that $I\subseteq\mathbf{m}^r$. If $h\in\mathcal{O}_n$ and $v\in\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, then the number $\ell(v,h)$ is also denoted by $d_v(h)$ and we refer to $d_v(h)$ as the *degree of* h *with respect to* v.

Theorem 5.1. *If I is a monomial ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ of finite colength, then*

$$\mathcal{L}_0^{(i)}(I)=\max\left\{\frac{\ell(v,I)}{v_{\min}} : v\in S^{(i)}\right\},$$

for all $i=1,\ldots,n$.

Proof. Let us fix an index $i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$. The closures of connected components $S_0^{(i)}$ form a regular subdivision corresponding to the blow up at the origin. Let us consider a regular subdivision Σ of the dual Newton polyhedron of $\Gamma_+(I)$, which is also a subdivision of $\{S_0^{(i)}\}$. Then we have a natural map from Σ to $\{S_0^{(i)}\}$. Take a vector a which is a generator of 1-cone of Σ and denote by E_a the corresponding exceptional divisor. Then E_a meets L' if and only if the cone generated by a is in the closure of some connected component of $S_0^{(i)}$, $i\geqslant n+1-k$, where L' denotes the strict transform of L. So (3.7) implies the result. □

Let us fix a subset $L\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}$, $L\neq\emptyset$. Then we define $\mathbb{R}^n_L=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : x_i=0, \text{ for all } i\notin L\}$. If $h\in\mathcal{O}_n$ and $h=\sum_k a_k x^k$ is the Taylor expansion of h around the origin, then we denote by h_L the sum of all terms a_kx^k such that $k\in\mathbb{R}^n_L$; if no such terms exist then we set $h_L=0$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{n,L}$ denote the subring of $\mathcal{O}_n$ formed by all function germs of $\mathcal{O}_n$ that only depend on the variables x_i such that $i\in L$. If I is an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$, then I^L denotes the ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{n,L}$ generated by all h_L such that $h\in I$. In particular, if I is an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ of finite colength then $I^{\{i\}}\neq 0$, for all $i=1,\ldots,n$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let I be a monomial ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ of finite colength. Then, for all $i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_0^{(i)}(I)=\max\left\{\,\mathrm{ord}(I^{\{j_1,\ldots,j_{n+1-i}\}}) : 1\leqslant j_1<\cdots<j_{n+1-i}\leqslant n\right\}. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. Let us fix an index $i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ and let us denote the number on the right hand side of (5.1) by $m_i(I)$. If $v\in\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$, then we denote the vector $\frac{1}{v_{\min}}v$ by w_v. If $w_v=(w_1,\ldots,w_n)$, then we observe that $w_j=1$ whenever $j\in A(v)$ and $w_j>1$, otherwise.

By Theorem 5.1 we have

$$\mathcal{L}_0^{(i)}(I)=\max\left\{\ell(w_v,I) : v\in S^{(i)}\right\}.$$

We remark that, since I is an ideal of finite colength, then $I^L \neq 0$, for all $L \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}$, $L \neq \emptyset$. Let us fix a vector $v \in S^{(i)}$. Then from the inclusion $I^{A(v)} \subseteq I$ we deduce $\ell(w_v, I) \leqslant \ell(w_v, I^{A(v)}) = \mathrm{ord}(I^{A(v)})$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\max\left\{\ell(w_v,I) : v \in S^{(i)}\right\} &\leqslant \max\left\{\ell(w_v, I^{A(v)}) : v \in S^{(i)}\right\}\\ &= \max\left\{\mathrm{ord}(I^{A(v)}) : v \in S^{(i)}\right\}\\ &\leqslant \max\left\{\mathrm{ord}(I^{A(v)}) : v \in S_0^{(i)}\right\}\\ &= \max\left\{\mathrm{ord}(I^{\{j_1,\dots,j_{n+1-i}\}}) : 1 \leqslant j_1 < \cdots j_{n+1-i} \leqslant n\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{L}_0^{(i)}(I) \leqslant m_i(I)$. Let us see the converse inequality by proving that for any subset $L \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}$ such that $|L| = n+1-i$, there exist some vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ such that $A(v) = L$ and $\ell(w_v, I) = \mathrm{ord}(I^L)$.

Let us fix a subset $L \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}$ such that $|L| = n+1-i$ and let $v = (v_1,\dots,v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $v_i = 1$ for all $i \in L$ and $v_j > \mathrm{ord}(I^L)$, for all $j \notin L$. Let us observe that, if $x^k \notin I^L$, then there exists some $j_0 \notin L$ such that $k_{j_0} \geqslant 1$; in particular $\langle v, k\rangle \geqslant \mathrm{ord}(I^L)$. Therefore we have

$$\ell(w_v, I) = \ell(v, I) = \min\left\{\min_{x^k \in I^L}\langle k, v\rangle,\ \min_{x^k \notin I^L}\langle k, v\rangle\right\} = \min\left\{\mathrm{ord}(I^L),\ \min_{x^k \notin I^L}\langle k, v\rangle\right\} = \mathrm{ord}(I^L).$$

Thus the result follows. □

Remark 5.3. If I denotes an ideal of finite colength of $\mathcal{O}_n$ then we observe that $\mathcal{L}_0^*(I) = \mathcal{L}_0^*(\overline{I})$. Therefore in Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.2 we can replace the ideal I by any ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ whose integral closure is a monomial ideal.

Example 5.4. Let us consider the monomial ideal of $\mathcal{O}_3$ given by $I = \langle x^a, y^b, z^c, xyz\rangle$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ and $3 < a < b < c$. Using the formula $e(I) = 3!\mathrm{V}_n(\mathbb{R}^3_{\geqslant 0} \setminus \Gamma_+(I))$ we obtain $e(I) = ab + ac + bc$. Moreover $\mathcal{L}_0^*(I) = (c, b, 3)$, by Corollary 5.2. We remark that $\mathcal{L}_0^*(I)$ does not depend on a.

Example 5.5. Let us consider the family $f_t : (\mathbb{C}^3, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ given by:

$$f_t(x, y, z) = x^{15} + z^5 + xy^7 + ty^6z.$$

This is known as the Briançon-Speder's example (see [9]). We have that f_t has an isolated singularity at the origin, f_t is weighted homogeneous with respect to $w = (1, 2, 3)$ and $d_w(f_t) = 15$, for all t. Therefore $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f_t) = 14$, for all t, by [28]. It is known that $\mu^{(2)}(f_0) = 28$ and $\mu^{(2)}(f_t) = 26$, for all $t \neq 0$ (see [9]). Hence

$$\mu^*(f) = \begin{cases}(364, 28, 5) & \text{if } t = 0\\ (364, 26, 5) & \text{if } t \neq 0.\end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that the ideal $J(f_0)$ is Newton non-degenerate, in the sense of [8, p. 57]. Thus the integral closure of $J(f_0)$ is a monomial ideal. That is

$$\overline{J(f_0)} = \overline{\langle x^{14}, y^7, xy^6, z^4\rangle}.$$

In particular, we can apply Corollary 5.2 to deduce

$$\mathcal{L}_0^*(\nabla f_0) = (14, 7, 5).$$

If $t \neq 0$, then $\Gamma_+(J(f_t)) = \Gamma_+(J)$, where J is the monomial ideal given by $J = \langle x^{14}, y^6, z^4, y^5z, xy^6\rangle$. Obviously $J \subseteq J(f_t)$. We observe that $e(J) = 336$, whereas $e(J(f_t)) = 364$. Since $e(J) \neq e(J(f_t))$ we conclude that the ideal $J(f_t)$ is not Newton non-degenerate. In particular, we can not apply Corollary 5.2 to obtain the sequence $\mathcal{L}_0^*(\nabla f_t)$.

Let us compute the number $\mathcal{L}_0^{(2)}(J(f_t))$, for $t \neq 0$. Let us fix a parameter $t \neq 0$. We remark that $\mathcal{L}_0^{(2)}(J(f_t))$ is equal to the Łojasiewicz exponent of the function $g(x, y) = f_t(x, y, ax + by)$, for generic values $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, by Lemma 4.9 and [47, Proposition 2.7].

We recall that if I denotes an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ of finite colength, then we denote by $r_0(I)$ the minimum of those $r \geqslant 1$ such that $\mathbf{m}^r \subseteq \overline{I}$. Using Singular [11] we observe that $r_0(J(g)) = 7$.

By a result of Płoski [38, Proposition 3.1], it is enough to compute the quotients $\frac{r_0(J(g)^s)}{s}$ only for those integers s such that $1 \leqslant s \leqslant r_0(J(g)^s) \leqslant e(J(g)) = 26$. Moreover, since $r_0(J(g)) - 1 < \mathcal{L}_0(J(g)) = \inf_{s\geqslant 1} \frac{r_0(J(g)^s)}{s}$, we can consider only the integers s such that $1 \leqslant s \leqslant \frac{e(J(g))}{r_0(J(g))-1} = \frac{26}{6} \simeq 4.3$, that is, such that $1 \leqslant s \leqslant 4$. Again, by applying Singular [11] we obtain

$$r_0(J(g)) = 7 \qquad r_0(J(g)^2) = 13 \qquad r_0(J(g)^3) = 20 \qquad r_0(J(g)^4) = 26.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}_0(J(g)) = \min\left\{\frac{r_0(J(g))}{1}, \frac{r_0(J(g)^2)}{2}, \frac{r_0(J(g)^3)}{3}, \frac{r_0(J(g)^4)}{4}\right\} = 6.5.$$

Summing up the above information we conclude

$$\mathcal{L}_0^*(\nabla f_t) = \begin{cases} (14, 7, 5) & \text{if } t = 0 \\ (14, 6.5, 5) & \text{if } t \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is known that the deformation $f_t : (\mathbb{C}^3, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is topologically trivial (see [9]). However, this deformation is not bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{R}$-trivial, as is observed by Koike [26]. Therefore, the fact that $\mathcal{L}_0^*(\nabla f_0) \neq \mathcal{L}_0^*(\nabla f_t)$, for $t \neq 0$, in this example constitutes a clue pointing that, if $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ is a function germ having an isolated singularity at the origin, then the sequence $\mathcal{L}_0^*(\nabla f)$ might be invariant in the bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{R}$-orbit of f.

6. The bi-Lipschitz invariance of the Łojasiewicz exponent

In this section we show three theorems. The first one shows that $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f)$ is bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{A}$-invariant and bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{K}^*$-invariant, for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ with an isolated singularity at the origin. The second shows the bi-Lipschitz invariance of $\mathcal{L}_0(I)$ and $\mathrm{ord}(I)$, for any ideal I of $\mathcal{O}_n$ of finite colength. The third one concerns the invariance of $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f)$ in μ-constant deformations of f.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_n$ with an isolated singularity at the origin. Let us suppose that f and g are bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent or bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{K}^*$-equivalent. Then $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f) = \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla g)$.*

Proof. By symmetry, it is enough to show $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f) \leqslant \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla g)$. Let us consider a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\phi :$

$(\mathbb{C},0)\to(\mathbb{C},0)$ so that $g(\varphi(x))=\phi(f(x))$, for all x belonging to some open neighbourhood of $0\in\mathbb{C}^n$. By Rademacher's theorem (see for instance [27, Theorem 5.1.11]), the partial derivatives of φ and φ^{-1} exist in some open neighbourhood of $0\in\mathbb{C}^n$ except in a thin set. The bi-Lipschitz property implies that φ and φ^{-1} are bounded. Then we conclude that

$$\|\nabla g(\varphi(x))\|\lesssim\|\nabla g(\varphi(x))D\varphi(x)\|=\|D\phi(f(x))\nabla f(x)\|\lesssim\|\nabla f(x)\| \tag{6.1}$$

almost everywhere. By continuity, we have $\|\nabla g(\varphi(x))\|\lesssim\|\nabla f(x)\|$ near 0. If $\|x\|^\theta\lesssim\|\nabla g(x)\|$, then

$$\|x\|^\theta\sim\|\varphi(x)\|^\theta\lesssim\|\nabla g(\varphi(x))\|\lesssim\|\nabla f(x)\|$$

and we obtain $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f)\leqslant\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla g)$.

The proof for $\mathcal{K}^*$-equivalence is similar. Let $A:(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to\mathbb{C}^*$ be a Lipschitz map such that the map $A^{-1}:(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to\mathbb{C}^*$ defined by $A^{-1}(x)=A(x)^{-1}$ is Lipschitz and $g(\varphi(x))=A(x)f(x)$, for all x belonging to some open neighbourhood of the origin. Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla g(\varphi(x))\|\lesssim&\|\nabla g(\varphi(x))D\varphi(x)\| && \text{(since } \varphi^{-1}\text{ is Lipschitz)}\\
=&\|\nabla A(x)f(x)+A(x)\nabla f(x)\| && \text{(since } g(\varphi(x))=A(x)f(x)\text{)}\\
\leq&\|\nabla A(x)\||f(x)|+|A(x)|\|\nabla f(x)\|\\
\lesssim&|f(x)|+\|\nabla f(x)\| && \text{(since } A(x)\text{ is Lipschitz)}\\
\lesssim&\|x\|\|\nabla f(x)\|+\|\nabla f(x)\| && \text{(since } |f(x)|\lesssim\|x\|\|\nabla f(x)\|\text{)}\\
\lesssim&\|\nabla f(x)\|,
\end{aligned}$$

almost everywhere and we conclude that $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f)\leqslant\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla g)$. □

Theorem 6.2. *Let I and J be ideals of $\mathcal{O}_n$ such that I and J are bi-Lipschitz equivalent. Then* $\operatorname{ord}(I)=\operatorname{ord}(J)$*, and $\mathcal{L}_0(I)=\mathcal{L}_0(J)$ if I and J have finite colength.*

Proof. Since I and J are bi-Lipschitz equivalent, there exist analytic map germs $f=(f_1,\dots,f_p):(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^p,0)$ and $g=(g_1,\dots,g_q):(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^q,0)$ such that $\overline{I}=\overline{\langle f_1,\dots,f_p\rangle}$, $\overline{J}=\overline{\langle g_1,\dots,g_q\rangle}$ and there exists a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\varphi:(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ so that $\|g(\varphi(x))\|\sim\|f(x)\|$ near 0. By symmetry, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{L}_0(I)\leqslant\mathcal{L}_0(J)$ and $\operatorname{ord}(I)\leqslant\operatorname{ord}(J)$.

Let $\theta\in\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ such that $\|x\|^\theta\lesssim\|g(x)\|$ near 0. Then

$$\|x\|^\theta\sim\|\varphi(x)\|^\theta\lesssim\|g(\varphi(x))\|\sim\|f(x)\|$$

near 0 and we obtain that $\mathcal{L}_0(I)\leqslant\mathcal{L}_0(J)$.

We remark that

$$\operatorname{ord}(J)=\max\{s:J\subseteq\mathbf{m}_n^s\}=\max\{s:J\subseteq\overline{\mathbf{m}_n^s}\}=\max\{s:\|g(x)\|\lesssim\|x\|^s\text{ near }0\}.$$

If $\|f(x)\|\lesssim\|x\|^s$ near 0, then we have

$$\|g(x)\|\sim\|f(\varphi(x))\|\lesssim\|\varphi(x)\|^s\sim\|x\|^s$$

near 0 and we obtain $\operatorname{ord}(I)\leqslant\operatorname{ord}(J)$. □

To end this section we show a result about the constancy of $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f_t)$ in deformations of weighted homogeneous functions.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a weighted homogeneous function of degree d with respect to $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ with an isolated singularity at the origin. Let $w_0 = \min\{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$. Let us suppose that*

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f) = \min\left\{\mu(f), \frac{d - w_0}{w_0}\right\}. \tag{6.2}$$

Let $f_t : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be an analytic deformation of f such that f_t has an isolated singularity at the origin, for all t. If $\mu(f_t)$ is constant, then $\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f_t)$ is also constant.

Proof. By a result of Varchenko [49] (see also [36, Proposition 2]), the deformation f_t verifies $d_w(f_t) \geqslant d$, for all t, where $d_w(f_t)$ denotes the degree of f_t with respect to w. Then we have the following:

$$\frac{(d - w_1)\cdots(d - w_n)}{w_1 \cdots w_n} = \mu(f) = \mu(f_t) \geqslant \frac{(d_t - w_1)\cdots(d_t - w_n)}{w_1 \cdots w_n} \geqslant \frac{(d - w_1)\cdots(d - w_n)}{w_1 \cdots w_n}.$$

Therefore $d_w(f_t) = d$ and

$$\mu(f_t) = \frac{(d - w_1)\cdots(d - w_n)}{w_1 \cdots w_n}$$

for all t. Consequently f_t is a semi-weighted homogeneous function, for all t, by [8, Theorem 3.3] (see also [15]). Then, by [6, Corollary 4.7], we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f_t) \leqslant \frac{d - w_0}{w_0}.$$

By the lower semi-continuity of Łojasiewicz exponents in μ-constant deformations (see [39]) we have

$$\min\left\{\mu(f), \frac{d - w_0}{w_0}\right\} = \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f) \leqslant \mathcal{L}_0(\nabla f_t) \leqslant \min\left\{\mu(f_t), \frac{d - w_0}{w_0}\right\} = \min\left\{\mu(f), \frac{d - w_0}{w_0}\right\}.$$

Then the result follows. □

Since the order of a function can be seen as a Łojasiewicz exponent, that is $\mathrm{ord}(f) = \mathcal{L}_{\langle f\rangle}(\mathbf{m}_n)$, for all $f \in \mathbf{m}_n$, we can consider the previous result as a counterpart of the known results of O'Shea [36, p. 260] and Greuel [17, p. 164] in the context of Łojasiewicz exponents of gradient maps. We remark that in general we always have the inequality $(\leqslant)$ in (6.2).

7. Log canonical thresholds

The purpose of this section is to show in Theorem 7.3 that the log canonical threshold $\mathrm{lct}(I)$ is bi-Lipschitz invariant. We also show Theorem 7.4, which enables us to compute $\mathrm{lct}(I)$ in terms of Łojasiewicz exponents when $\overline{I}$ is monomial. We start with a quick survey on log canonical thresholds. We refer to the survey [34] for more information about the notion of log canonical threshold.

The *log canonical threshold* of a function $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to \mathbb{C}$, denoted by $\mathrm{lct}(f)$, is the supremum of those s so that $|f(x)|^{-2s}$ is locally integrable at 0, that is, integrable on some compact neighbourhood of 0. This definiton is generalized for ideals as follows.

Definition 7.1. Let I be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Let us consider a generating system $\{g_1, \ldots, g_r\}$ of I. The *log canonical threshold* of I, denoted by $\mathrm{lct}(I)$, is defined as follows:

$$\mathrm{lct}(I) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} : \left(|g_1(x)|^2 + \cdots + |g_r(x)|^2\right)^{-s} \text{ is locally integrable at } 0\}.$$

It is straightforward to see that this definition does not depend on the choice of generating systems of I. The *Arnold index* of I, denoted by $\mu(I)$, is defined as $\mu(I) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{lct}(I)}$ (see for instance [12]).

One origin of the notion of log canonical threshold comes back to analysis on complex powers as generalized functions. M. Atiyah ([1]) showed a way to compute (candidate) poles of complex powers using resolution of singularities. This leads to the following well-known result.

Theorem 7.2. *Let $\pi : M \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a proper modification so that $(\pi^* I)_0 = \sum_i m_i D_i$ where D_i form a family of normal crossing divisors. Then*

$$\mathrm{lct}(I) = \min_i \Big\{ \frac{k_i + 1}{m_i} \Big\} \qquad \text{where } K_M = \sum_i k_i D_i \text{ is the canonical divisor of } M.$$

The proof is based on the following observation:

$$\int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon} |x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n}|^{-2s} |x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}|^2 \frac{dx \wedge d\bar{x}}{\sqrt{-1}^n} < \infty \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad m_i s < k_i + 1, \text{ for all } i.$$

If $I \subseteq \mathbf{m}_n^r$, then

$$\mathrm{lct}(I) \leqslant \mathrm{lct}(\mathbf{m}_n^r) \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{lct}(\mathbf{m}_n)}{r} = \frac{n}{r}$$

by [34, Property 1.14]. As a consequence, we conclude that $\mathrm{lct}(I)\,\mathrm{ord}(I) \leqslant n$. Combining this with [34, Property 1.18], we have

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{ord}(I)} \leqslant \mathrm{lct}(I) \leqslant \frac{n}{\mathrm{ord}(I)}.$$

Theorem 7.3.

(i) *If two functions f and g of $\mathcal{O}_n$ are bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{K}$-equivalent, then* $\mathrm{lct}(f) = \mathrm{lct}(g)$.
(ii) *If two ideals I and J of $\mathcal{O}_n$ are bi-Lipschitz equivalent, then* $\mathrm{lct}(I) = \mathrm{lct}(J)$.

Proof. (i): Assume that we have $g(\varphi(x)) = \phi_x(f(x))$, for all x belonging to some open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, for a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, $x \mapsto x' = \varphi(x)$, and bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms $\phi_x : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, $y \mapsto y' = \phi_x(y)$. By Rademacher's theorem (see [27, Theorem 5.1.11]), φ is differentiable almost everywhere in the sense of Lebesgue measure, and its jacobian $J(\varphi)$ is measurable. By Lipschitz property, we have $|J(\varphi)| \lesssim 1$ and $|\phi_x(y)| \sim |y|$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\varphi(K)} |g(x')|^{-2s} \frac{dx' \wedge d\bar{x}'}{\sqrt{-1}^n} &= \int_K |g(\varphi(x))|^{-2s} |J(\varphi)| \frac{dx \wedge d\bar{x}}{\sqrt{-1}^n} \\
&\lesssim \int_K |\phi_x(f(x))|^{-2s} \frac{dx \wedge d\bar{x}}{\sqrt{-1}^n} \\
&\lesssim \int_K |f(x)|^{-2s} \frac{dx \wedge d\bar{x}}{\sqrt{-1}^n}
\end{aligned}$$

where K is a compact neighbourhod of 0. This implies $\mathrm{lct}(f) \leqslant \mathrm{lct}(g)$ and vice versa.
(ii): Choose $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_p)$ and $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_q)$ so that $\overline{I} = \overline{\langle f_1, \ldots, f_p \rangle}$, $\overline{J} = \overline{\langle g_1, \ldots, g_q \rangle}$ and $\|f(x)\| \sim \|g(\varphi(x))\|$ where $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. We have

$$\int_{\varphi(K)} \|g(x')\|^{-2s} \frac{dx' \wedge d\bar{x}'}{\sqrt{-1}^n} = \int_K \|g(\varphi(x))\|^{-2s} |J(\varphi)| \frac{dx \wedge d\bar{x}}{\sqrt{-1}^n} \lesssim \int_K \|f(x)\|^{-2s} \frac{dx \wedge d\bar{x}}{\sqrt{-1}^n}$$

where K is a compact neighbourhod of 0. This implies $\mathrm{lct}(I) \leqslant \mathrm{lct}(J)$ and vice versa. □

Theorem 7.4. *Let I be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ such that $V(I) \subseteq V(x_1 \cdots x_n)$. We have*

$$1 \leqslant \mathrm{lct}(I) \mathcal{L}_{x_1 \cdots x_n}(I) \tag{7.1}$$

and equality holds when $\overline{I}$ is a monomial ideal.

Proof. Let us consider an analytic map germ $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_p) : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ such that $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_p \rangle$. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ such that $|x_1 \ldots x_n|^\theta \lesssim \|f(x)\|$. If $s \geqslant 0$ then

$$\int_K \|f(x)\|^{-2s} \frac{dx \wedge d\bar{x}}{\sqrt{-1}^n} \lesssim \int_K |x_1 \cdots x_n|^{-2s\theta} \frac{dx \wedge d\bar{x}}{\sqrt{-1}^n}.$$

Thus $s < \mathrm{lct}(I)$ whenever $s\theta < 1$. This implies that $1/\mathcal{L}_{x_1 \cdots x_n}(I) \leqslant \mathrm{lct}(I)$.

If $\overline{I}$ is monomial, then we consider the toric modification $\pi : M \to \mathbb{C}^n$ corresponding to a regular subdivision of $\Gamma_+(I)$. Let a denote a primitive vector which generate a 1-cone of this regular fan. Then the order of $|x_1 \cdots x_n|^\theta \circ \pi$ is $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \theta = (k_a + 1)\theta$ along the exceptional divisor corresponding to a, where k_a denotes the multiplicity of the canonical divisor along the component corresponding to a. The order of $|f \circ \pi|$ is $\ell(a, I)$ along the exceptional divisor corresponding to a. So we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{x_1 \cdots x_n}(I) = \max\Big\{ \frac{\ell(a, I)}{\sum_i a_i} \Big\} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{lct}(I)},$$

where the maximum is taken over those a which correspond to the components of the exceptional divisor of π. □

The previous result is motivated by [22, Example 5].

Example 7.5. Let us consider the ideal $I = \langle x + y, xy \rangle$ of $\mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{xy}(I) = 1$ and $\mathrm{lct}(I) = 3/2$. We remark that $\overline{I} = \langle x + y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle^2$. Hence this example shows that, in general, equality does not hold in (7.1).

Proposition 7.6. *Let I and J be ideals of $\mathcal{O}_n$ such that $V(J) \subseteq V(I)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{lct}(I) \leqslant \mathcal{L}_I(J) \, \mathrm{lct}(J). \tag{7.2}$$

Proof. Set $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_r \rangle$ and $J = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_s \rangle$. If $\|f(x)\|^\theta \lesssim \|g(x)\|$, for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ and we fix any $s \geqslant 0$ then

$$\int_K \|g(x)\|^{-2s} \frac{dx \wedge d\bar{x}}{\sqrt{-1}^n} \lesssim \int_K \|f(x)\|^{-2s\theta} \frac{dx \wedge d\bar{x}}{\sqrt{-1}^n}.$$

This means that $s\theta < \mathrm{lct}(I)$ implies $s < \mathrm{lct}(J)$, i.e., $\mathrm{lct}(I)/\theta \leqslant \mathrm{lct}(J)$. We thus obtain that $\mathrm{lct}(I) \leq \theta \, \mathrm{lct}(J)$. □

Remark 7.7. It is natural to ask when the equality holds in (7.2). If I and J are monomial ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$, then we have

$$\operatorname{lct}(I) = \min_{a\in\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}\Big\{\frac{\sum_i a_i}{\ell(a,I)}\Big\}, \quad \operatorname{lct}(J) = \min_{a\in\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}\Big\{\frac{\sum_i a_i}{\ell(a,J)}\Big\}, \quad \mathcal{L}_I(J) = \max_{a\in\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}}\Big\{\frac{\ell(a,J)}{\ell(a,I)}\Big\}.$$

When the same a attains these minimums and maximum, we have $\operatorname{lct}(I) = \mathcal{L}_I(J)\operatorname{lct}(J)$.

8. Log canonical thresholds of generic sections

Definition 8.1. Let I be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$. For any integer $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ we set

$$\operatorname{lct}^{(n-k)}(I) = \operatorname{lct}(I|_L),$$

where L denote a generic $(n-k)$-dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$, and $I|_L$ denote the restriction of the ideal I to the space L.

By the semicontinuity of the log canonical threshold ([29, Corollary 9.5.39]), for every family $\{L_t\}_{t\in U}$ of linear subspaces of dimension $n-k$ with $L_0 = L$ there is an open neighborhood W of 0 such that $\operatorname{lct}(I|_{L_t}) \geqslant \operatorname{lct}(I|_{L_0})$ for every $t \in W$. So $\operatorname{lct}^{(n-k)}(I|_L)$ is well-defined and characterized as maximal possible one, despite of the fact that the isomorphism classes of $I|_{L_t}$ may vary along t.

When L is the zero set of $h_1, \dots, h_k$, then $\operatorname{lct}^{(n-k)}(I)$ is the log canonical threshold of the ideal generated by the image of I in $\mathcal{O}_n/\langle h_1, \dots, h_k\rangle$. By Proposition 4.5 of [33] (or Property 1.17 of [34]), we have

$$\operatorname{lct}^{(1)}(I) \leqslant \operatorname{lct}^{(2)}(I) \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \operatorname{lct}^{(n)}(I) \tag{8.1}$$

We know that $\operatorname{lct}^{(n)}(I) = \operatorname{lct}(I)$ and $\operatorname{lct}^{(1)}(I) = 1/\operatorname{ord}(I)$ are bi-Lipschitz invariant. So it is natural to ask the following

Question 8.2. Is $\operatorname{lct}^*(I) = (\operatorname{lct}^{(n)}(I), \operatorname{lct}^{(n-1)}(I), \dots, \operatorname{lct}^{(1)}(I))$ a bi-Lipschitz invariant?

Theorem 7.4 has the following analogy for $\operatorname{lct}^{(k)}(I)$.

Theorem 8.3. *Let I be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ such that $V(I) \subseteq V(x_1\cdots x_n)$. Then*

$$1 - \frac{k}{n} \leqslant \operatorname{lct}^{(n-k)}(I)\mathcal{L}^{(n-k)}_{x_1\cdots x_n}(I)$$

for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

Proof. Let L be a linear $(n-k)$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Assume that I is generated by $f_1, \dots, f_m$ and set $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$. Let $H_i = \{h_i = 0\}$ denote a generic hyperplane of $\mathbb{C}^n$ through 0 so that $L = H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_k$. Let ω denote an $(n-k)$-form with $dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n = dh_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dh_k \wedge \omega$. Let $\pi : M \to \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the blow up at the origin and let h'_i denote the strict transform of h_i. Set $x_1 = u_1$ and $x_i = u_1u_i$ $(i = 2, \dots, n)$. Since $h_i = u_1h'_i$, then

$$dh_i = d(u_1h'_i) = u_1dh'_i + h'_idu_1 = u_1dh'_i$$

on the set defined by $h'_i = 0$. Let ω' denote an $(n-k)$-form with $du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_n = dh' \wedge \omega'$. Since L is generic, the strict transform L' of L and the zeros of u_i $(i = 2, \dots, n)$ form a normal crossing variety. Since

$$(u_1dh'_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (u_1dh'_k) \wedge \omega = dh_1 \wedge \cdots dh_k \wedge \omega$$

$$\begin{aligned}&=dx_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_n\\&=u_1^{n-1}du_1\wedge\cdots\wedge du_n\qquad\text{on } L',\end{aligned}$$

we may assume that $\omega=u_1^{n-k-1}\omega'$ on L'. If $|x_1\cdots x_n|^\theta\lesssim\|f\|$ on L, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{K\cap L}\|f\|^{-2s}\frac{\omega\wedge\bar\omega}{\sqrt{-1}^{n-k}}&\lesssim\int_{K\cap L}|x_1\cdots x_n|^{-2\theta s}\frac{\omega\wedge\bar\omega}{\sqrt{-1}^{n-k}}\\&=\int_{\pi^{-1}(K)\cap L'}|u_1^nu_2\cdots u_n|^{-2\theta s}|u_1|^{2(n-k-1)}\frac{\omega'\wedge\bar\omega'}{\sqrt{-1}^{n-k}}\\&=\int_{\pi^{-1}(K)\cap L'}|u_1|^{-2(n\theta s-n+k+1)}|u_2\cdots u_n|^{-2\theta s}\frac{\omega'\wedge\bar\omega'}{\sqrt{-1}^{n-k}}\end{aligned}$$

which is integrable whenever $n\theta s<n-k$. So we have that $s<(1-\frac{k}{n})/\mathcal{L}^{(n-k)}_{x_1\cdots x_n}(I)$ implies $s<\mathrm{lct}^{(n-k)}(I)$, and we have

$$1-\frac{k}{n}\leqslant\mathrm{lct}^{(n-k)}(I)\mathcal{L}^{(n-k)}_{x_1\cdots x_n}(I).$$

□

We close the paper to show a closed formula for $\mathrm{lct}^{(k)}(I)$ when $\overline{I}$ is monomial.

Theorem 8.4. *Let I be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$ such that $\overline{I}$ is a monomial ideal. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{lct}^{(k)}(I)&=\min\Big\{\frac{\sum_i a_i-(n-k)a_{\min}}{\ell(a,I)}:a\in S^{(k)}\Big\}\\&=\inf\Big\{\frac{\sum_i a_i-(n-k)}{\ell(a,I)}:a\in S^{(k)}\cap A\Big\}\end{aligned}$$

where $A=\{a=(a_1,\ldots,a_n):\min\{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}=1\}$, for all $k\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$.

Proof. We may assume that I is a monomial ideal. We consider a toric modification $\sigma:X\to\mathbb{C}^n$ which dominate the blowing up at the origin. There is a coordinate system $(y_1,\ldots,y_n)$ so that σ is expressed by

$$x_i=y_1^{a_i^1}\cdots y_n^{a_i^n}\qquad(a_i^j\in\mathbb{Z},\ i=1,\ldots,n).$$

Then we have $h_i=y_1^{a_{\min}^1}\cdots y_n^{a_{\min}^n}\tilde h_i$ where $\tilde h_i$ denotes the strict transform of h_i by σ. So we have

$$dh_i=y_1^{a_{\min}^1}\cdots y_n^{a_{\min}^n}d\tilde h_i$$

on the set defined by $\tilde h_i=0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\wedge_{i=1}^k(y_1^{a_{\min}^1}\cdots y_n^{a_{\min}^n}d\tilde h_i)\Big)\wedge\omega&=dh_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dh_k\wedge\omega\\&=dx_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_n\\&=y_1^{\sum_i a_i^1-1}\cdots y_n^{\sum_i a_i^n-1}dy_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dy_n\end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$\omega=y_1^{\sum_i a_i^1-ka_{\min}^1-1}\cdots y_n^{\sum_i a_i^n-ka_{\min}^n-1}\tilde\omega$$

where $\tilde{\omega}$ is a holomorphic $(n-k)$-form which does not vanish on the strict transform $\tilde{L}$ of L by σ with

$$dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_n = d\tilde{h}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\tilde{h}_k \wedge \tilde{\omega}.$$

Since L is generic, $\tilde{L}$ and the zeros of y_j form a normal crossing variety and we conclude that

$$\mathrm{lct}^{(n-k)}(I) = \min\Big\{ \frac{\sum_i a_i - k a_{\min}}{\ell(a, I)} : a \in S^{(n-k)} \Big\}.$$

We complete the proof by replacing k by $n-k$. □

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, Resolution of singularities and division of distributions, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **23** (1970), 145–150.

[2] L. Birbrair, A. Fernandes and W. D. Neumann, Bi-Lipschitz geometry of weighted homogeneous surface singularities. Math. Ann. 342 (2008), no. 1, 139–144.

[3] L. Birbrair, J. C. F. Costa, A. Fernandes and M. A. S. Ruas, $\mathcal{K}$-bi-Lipschitz equivalence of real function-germs. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), no. 4, 1089–1095.

[4] C. Bivià-Ausina, Local Łojasiewicz exponents, Milnor numbers and mixed multiplicities of ideal, Math. Z. **262** (2009), no. 2, 389-409.

[5] C. Bivià-Ausina, Joint reductions of monomial ideals and multiplicity of complex analytic maps, Math. Res. Lett. **15** (2008), no. 2, 389–407.

[6] C. Bivià-Ausina and S. Encinas, Łojasiewicz exponent of families of ideals, Rees mixed multiplicities and Newton filtrations, Rev. Math. Complut. **26** (2013), no. 2, 773–798.

[7] C. Bivià-Ausina and S. Encinas, Łojasiewicz exponents and resolution of singularities, Arch. Math. **93** (2009), no. 3, 225-234.

[8] C. Bivià-Ausina, T. Fukui and M. J. Saia, Newton graded algebras and the codimension of non-degenerate ideals, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **133** (2002), 55–75.

[9] J. Briançon and J. P. Speder, La trivialité topologique n'implique pas les conditions de Whitney, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris **280** (1975), 365–367.

[10] J. Briançon and J. P. Speder, Les conditions de Whitney impliquent μ^* constant, Ann. Inst. Fourier **26** (1976), no. 2, 153–163.

[11] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister and H. Schönemann, Singular 3-1-3 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations, http://www.singular.uni-kl.de (2011).

[12] T. de Fernex, L. Ein and M. Mustaţă, Multiplicities and log canonical threshold, J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004), no. 3, 603–615.

[13] C. Eyral, Zariski's multiplicity question – a survey, New Zealand J. Math. 36 (2007), 253–276.

[14] A. C. G. Fernandes and M. A. S. Ruas, Bi-Lipschitz determinacy of quasihomogeneous germs, Glasg. Math. J. **46** (2004), no. 1, 7782.

[15] M. Furuya and M. Tomari, A characterization of semi-quasi-homogeneous functions in terms of the Milnor number, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no. 7, 1885–1890.

[16] T. Gaffney, Bi-Lipschitz equivalence, integral closure and invariants, Real and complex singularities, 125–137, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 380, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[17] G. M. Greuel, Constant Milnor number implies constant multiplicity for quasihomogeneous singularities, Manuscripta Math. **56** (1986), 159–166.

[18] J.-P. Henry and A. Parusiński, Existence of moduli for bi-Lipschitz equivalence of analytic functions, Compositio Math. 136 (2003), no. 2, 217–235.

[19] J.-P. Henry and A. Parusiński, Invariants of bi-Lipschitz equivalence of real analytic functions, Geometric singularity theory, 67–75, Banach Center Publ., 65, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2004.

[20] M. Herrmann, S. Ikeda and U. Orbanz, Equimultiplicity anb Blowing Up. An algebraic study with an appendix by B. Moonen, Springer-Verlag (1988).

[21] M. Hickel, Fonction asymptotique de Samuel des sections hyperplanes et multiplicité, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), no. 5, 634-645.
[22] J. A. Howald, Multiplier ideals of monomial ideals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 7, 26652671.
[23] C. Huneke and I. Swanson, Integral Closure of Ideals, Rings, and Modules, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **336**, 2006, Cambridge University Press.
[24] D. Juniati and G. Valette, Bi-Lipschitz trivial quasi-homogeneous stratifications, Saitama Math. J. 26 (2010), 1–13
[25] S. Koike and L. Paunescu, The directional dimension of subanalytic sets is invariant under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 59 (2009), no. 6, 2445–2467.
[26] S. Koike, The Briançon-Speder and Oka families are not biLipschitz trivial, Several Topics in Singularity Theory, RIMS Kokyuroku 1328 (2003), 165–173.
[27] S. Krantz and H. Parks, Geometric Integration Theory, Birkhuser, 2008.
[28] T. Krasiński, G. Oleksik and A. Płoski, The Łojasiewicz exponent of an isolated weighted homogeneous surface singularity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 10, 3387–3397.
[29] R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity in algebraic geometry II, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Vol. 49, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
[30] M. Lejeune and B. Teissier, Clôture intégrale des idéaux et equisingularité, with an appendix by J. J. Risler. Centre de Mathématiques, Ecole Polytechnique (1974) and Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **17** (2008), no. 4, 781–859.
[31] J. Mather, Stability of C^∞-map-germs III. Finitely determined map-germs, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. **35** (1968), 127–156.
[32] J. Milnor, Singular points of complex hypersurfaces, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **61** Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo 1968
[33] M. Mustaţă, Singularities of pairs via jet schemes, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 599–615.
[34] M. Mustaţă, IMPANGA lecture notes on log canonical threshold, arXiv:1107.2676 (2011).
[35] T. Mostowski, Lipschitz equisingularity, Dissertationes Math. 243 (1985),
[36] D. O'Shea, Topologically trivial deformations of isolated quasihomogeneous hypersurface singularities are equimultiple, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987), no. 2, 260–262.
[37] A. Parusiński, Lipschitz stratification, Global analysis in modern mathematics (Orono, ME, 1991; Waltham, MA,1992), 73–89, Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1993.
[38] A. Płoski, Multiplicity and the Łojasiewicz exponent, Singularities (Warsaw, 1985), 353–364, Banach Center Publ., 20, PWN, Warsaw, 1988.
[39] A. Płoski, Semicontinuity of the Łojasiewicz exponent, Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. **48** (2010), 103–110.
[40] D. Rees, Lectures on the asymptotic theory of ideals, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 113 (1988), Cambridge University Press.
[41] D. Rees, Generalizations of reductions and mixed multiplicities, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **29** (1984), 397–414.
[42] D. Rees and J. Sally, General elements and joint reductions, Mich. Math. J. **35** (1988), no. 2, 241–254.
[43] J.-J. Risler and D. Trotman, Bi-Lipschitz invariance of the multiplicity, Bull. London Math. Soc. **29** (1997), no. 2, 200–204.
[44] M. A. S. Ruas and G. Valette, C^0 and bi-Lipschitz $\mathcal{K}$-equivalence of mappings, Math. Z. 269 (2011), no. 1-2, 293–308
[45] I. Swanson, Mixed multiplicities, joint reductions and quasi-unmixed local rings, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **48** (1993), no. 1, 1–14.
[46] B. Teissier, Variétés polaires. I. Invariants polaires des singularités d'hypersurfaces, Invent. Math. 40 (1977), 267–292.
[47] B. Teissier, Cycles évanescents, sections planes et conditions of Whitney, Singularités à Cargèse, Astérisque, no. 7–8 (1973), 285–362.

[48] G. Valette, Bi-Lipschitz sufficiency of jets, J. Geom. Anal. 19 (2009), no. 4, 963–993.
[49] A. Varchenko, A lower bound for the codimension of the stratum μ =const by mixed Hodge structures, Vestnik MGU, Ser. Math. 6 (1982), 28-31.
[50] C. T. C. Wall, Finite determinacy of smooth map-germs, Bull. London Math. Soc. 13 (1981), 481–539.
[51] O. Zariski, Some open questions in the theory of singularities, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **77** (1971), 481–491.

Institut Universitari de Matemàtica Pura i Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camí de Vera, s/n, 46022 València, Spain
E-mail address: carbivia@mat.upv.es

Department of Mathematics, Saitama University, 255 Shimo-Okubo, Sakura-ku Saitama 338-8570, Japan
E-mail address: tfukui@rimath.saitama-u.ac.jp